This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked.				
	☐ BLACK BORDERS			
	☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES			
	☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING			
	☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING			
	☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES			
	☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
	GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS			
	LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT			
	☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY			
	OTHER:			

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,563	06/28/2001	Tony G. Hamilton	42390P11843	7969
75	90 09/23/2004	EXAMINER JEAN GILLES, JUDE		
	OKOLOFF, TAYL			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2143	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1W/1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W.
	09/896,563	HAMILTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jude J Jean-Gilles	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC as cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 ≥ 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	<i>J</i>	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☒ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected t e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	·152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed on 06/28/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Jiang et al (U.S. 6,741,853 B1).

Regarding claim 1: Jiang et al teach a method for providing direct storage access within a notebook computer comprising:

predetermining an environment given to a wirelessly enabled notebook (column 5, lines 49-55; fig. 2, item 244);

determining if the notebook has been moved to a second environment (column 4, lines 5-11);

determining if the second environment has been classified (column 4, lines 27-33);

determining the notebook's user's identification (column 3, lines 63-67);

Art Unit: 2143

determining if data to be transferred to the notebook has been buffered (column 11, lines 15-22);

matching notebook resources to accommodate the data to be transferred (column 11, lines 23-26);

executing the data transfer (column 11, lines 23-26); and returning notebook resources to an idle state (column 4, lines 42-51).

Regarding claim 2: Jiang et al teach (column 18, lines 34-41; Note that the resource here is the cellular phone and the data is the permanent and temporary profiles, whereas the time is the time the user goes on vacation) the method of claim 1, wherein a system time resource is apportioned according to the data received in a data transfer.

Regarding claim 3: Jiang et al teach (column 13, lines 4-9; Note that "since less data is being transferred, less power is required, increasing power reserves") the method of claim 1, wherein a system power resource is apportioned according to the data received in the data transfer.

Regarding claim 4: Jiang et al teach (column 4, lines 52-57; Note that the user is notified after the information request has been sent to the users device, but the user must make an explicit request for the transfer to take place) the method of claim 1, wherein the user is notified of the data transfer after the notebook is returned to an idle state.

Regarding claim 5: Jiang et al teach (column 1, lines 42-46; Note that the user device in the same field of endeavor can be any of voice only cellular phones, WAP phones, PDAs, one-way pagers, two way pagers, and laptop

Art Unit: 2143

computers. Inherently, one of the pagers types may be used to send notification to the user) the method of claim 4 wherein the user is notified via a pager.

Regarding claim 6: Jiang et al teach (column 1, lines 42-46; Note that the user device in this endeavor can be any of voice only cellular phones, WAP phones, PDAs, one-way pagers, two way pagers, and laptop computers.

Inherently, that a voice only cell phone type as mentioned above may be used to send notification to the user) the method of claim 4 wherein the user is notified via a cell phone.

Regarding claim 11: Jiang et al teach a machine-readable medium having stored thereon a set of instructions, which when executed, perform a method comprising:

predetermining an environment given to a wirelessly enabled notebook (column 5, lines 49-55; fig. 2, item 244);

determining if the notebook has been moved to a second environment (column 4, lines 5-11);

determining if the second environment has been classified (column 4, lines 27-33);

determining the notebook's user's identification (column 3, lines 63-67); determining if data to be transferred to the notebook has been buffered (column 11, lines 15-22);

matching notebook resources to accommodate the data to be transferred (column 11, lines 23-26);

executing the data transfer (column 11, lines 23-26); and

Art Unit: 2143

returning notebook resources to an idle state (column 4, lines 42-51).

Regarding claim 12: Jiang et al teach (column 18, lines 34-41; Note that the resource here is the cellular phone and the data is the permanent and temporary profiles, whereas the time is the time the user goes on vacation) the machine-readable medium of claim 11, wherein a system time resource is apportioned according to the data received in a data transfer.

Regarding claim 13: Jiang et al teach (column 13, lines 4-9; Note that "since less data is being transferred, less power is required, increasing power reserves") the machine-readable medium of claim 11, wherein a system power resource is apportioned according to the data received in the data transfer.

Regarding claim 14: Jiang et al teach (column 4, lines 52-57; Note that the user is notified after the information request has been sent to the users device, but the user must make an explicit request for the transfer to take place) the machine-readable medium of claim 11, wherein the user is notified of the data transfer after the notebook is returned to an idle state.

Regarding claim 15: Jiang et al teach (column 1, lines 42-46; Note that the user device in the same field of endeavor can be any of voice only cellular phones, WAP phones, PDAs, one-way pagers, two way pagers, and laptop computers. Inherently, one of the pagers types may be used to send notification to the user) the machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the user is notified via a pager.

Regarding claim 16: Jiang et al teach (column 1, lines 42-46; Note that the user device in this endeavor can be any of voice only cellular phones, WAP

Art Unit: 2143

phones, PDAs, one-way pagers, two way pagers, and laptop computers. It is inherent to the invention that a voice only cell phone type as mentioned above may be used to send notification to the user) the machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the user is notified via a cell phone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Crump et al (U.S. 5,530,879) in view of Kau et al (U.S. 6,421,754 B1).

Regarding claim 7: Crump et al teach a device for providing direct storage access within a notebook computer comprising:

```
a processor (fig. 1, item 40);
a clock generator (fig. 2, item 906);
a main CPU (fig. 1, item 41);
a graphical memory controllable hub (fig. 1, item 48);
a video controller hub (fig. 1, item 56);
a firmware hub (column 4, lines 28-34);
an input/output controller hub (fig. 1, items 76, 78);
```

Art Unit: 2143

Crump et al differ from the current invention in that it does not teach a system management controller that controls access to the notebook while the main CPU is idle.

However, Kau et al (column 38, lines 55-61; fig. 31, items 55-61) teach "a block diagram of a system management interrupt circuitry in the CPU". In the same field of endeavor, Crump et al teach a notebook computer accessed while the CPU is idle.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the device for providing direct storage access within a notebook computer Crump et al and incorporate Kau et al's system of management control access to the notebook while the CPU is idle to enhance data communication in the notebook.

Kau et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a system management controller with a CPU within a notebook computer to enhance data access. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the system to get this advantage in a notebook computer.

Regarding claim 8: Crump et al teach a device for providing direct storage access but fail to teach a device within a notebook computer wherein the system management controller comprises interrupt circuitry.

However, Kau et al (column 38, lines 55-61; fig. 1, item 1620) teach "a system management interrupt circuitry to form a distributed power management system."

Art Unit: 2143

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the device for providing direct storage access within a notebook computer of Crump et al and incorporate Kau et al's system of management interrupt circuitry to enhance data storage access in the notebook.

Kau et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a system management interrupt circuitry within a notebook computer to enhance data access. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the above system to get this advantage in a notebook computer.

Regarding claim 9: Crump et al teach a device for providing direct storage access but fail to teach a device wherein the system management controller utilizes a data/command/management bus.

However, Kau et al (column 48, lines 49-59) teach "two sets of 16-bit data buses SDO and SDI, respectively specialized to carry input and output data in the form of generated signals to internal and outside destinations."

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the device for providing direct storage access within a notebook computer of Crump et al and incorporate Kau et al's system of data/command/management bus to enhance data communications in the notebook.

Kau et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a system of data management bus within a notebook computer to enhance data access. An artisan in the networking art at the time of

Art Unit: 2143

the invention would have been motivated to include the above system to get this advantage in a notebook computer.

Regarding claim 10: Crump et al teach a device for providing direct storage access but fail to teach a device wherein the system management controller awakens an idle storage device and allows a data transfer to take place.

However, Kau et al (column 14, lines 7-22) teach "a system management mode using interrupt routines to support memory mapping into main DRAM memory. Note that the main purpose of the interrupt is to awaken the memory in its idle state"

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the device for providing direct storage access within a notebook computer of Crump et al and incorporate Kau et al's system of management device that awakens the idle memory storage to facilitate data transfer in the notebook.

Kau et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a system management device that awakens a storage device within a notebook computer to improve data transfer. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the system to get this advantage in a notebook computer.

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (703) 305-0269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG

September 20, 2004